Computation in the Olfactory System

Thomas A. Cleland and Christiane Linster

Department of Neurobiology and Behavior, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA

Correspondence to be sent to: Thomas A. Cleland, Department of Neurobiology and Behavior, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, 14853 USA. e-mail: tac29@cornell.edu

Abstract

Computational models are increasingly essential to systems neuroscience. Models serve as proofs of concept, tests of sufficiency, and as quantitative embodiments of working hypotheses and are important tools for understanding and interpreting complex data sets. In the olfactory system, models have played a particularly prominent role in framing contemporary theories and presenting novel hypotheses, a role that will only grow as the complexity and intricacy of experimental data continue to increase. This review will attempt to provide a comprehensive, functional overview of computational ideas in olfaction and outline a computational framework for olfactory processing based on the insights provided by these diverse models and their supporting data.

Key words: coding, contrast enhancement, convergence, dynamics, modeling, normalization, odor representation, synchrony

Introduction

In natural environments, airborne chemical stimuli are distributed unpredictably in time and space, and odorants from innumerable sources intermix freely. The olfactory system must be able to detect potential signals of interest within these chemically noisy environments, correctly extract these signals from a complex and changing odor background to form stimulus representations, compare these constructed representations to those of previously experienced odors, differentiate relevant from irrelevant stimuli, and cue an appropriate response. Many of the neural circuit elements comprising the olfactory system have been proposed to contribute to these processes in particular ways; for example, multiple feedback and feedforward interactions among olfactory structures, as well as between olfactory and non-olfactory areas, are thought to contribute to the filtering and construction of olfactory representations. Computational models of olfactory processing have been increasingly utilized to describe and interpret these complex and interrelated phenomena.

Primary olfactory sensory neurons (OSNs) number in the millions in rodents. Their axons are highly convergent, targeting specific, discrete neuropilar synaptic regions within the input layer of the olfactory bulb (OB) called glomeruli. In hamsters, for example, between 1300 and 4700 OSNs expressing the same odorant receptor complement converge upon each glomerulus (Schoenfeld and Knott, 2004). These large populations of redundant OSNs and their correspondingly high convergence ratios have been proposed to yield advantages such as an improved signal-to-noise ratio, a corresponding increase in effective stimulus sensitivity, and an increased range of tuning to different odorant concentrations (van Drongelen et al., 1978; Duchamp-Viret et al., 1989; Meisami, 1989; Cleland and Linster, 1999). The molecular receptive ranges or chemical receptive fields of these odorant receptors overlap substantially, such that the identity of odorants is not associated with the activation of a specific receptor but rather is represented by a distributed, combinatorial code (Adrian, 1953; Moulton, 1967; Stewart et al., 1979; Kauer, 1991), now recognized as a pattern of activation across many receptors. Owing to the specific homotypic convergence of OSN axons, these odor-specific activity patterns can be most clearly observed in imaging studies of OB glomeruli (Friedrich and Korsching, 1997; Johnson et al., 1998, 1999, 2004; Rubin and Katz, 1999; Meister and Bonhoeffer, 2001; Wachowiak et al., 2002). These overlapping representations underlie two critical properties of the olfactory system that a labeled-line solution would not. First, the number of unique odor representations is not limited to the number of different receptor types (roughly 1000 in mice; Mombaerts, 1996) but can be estimated as m^n , where *n* denotes the number of receptor types and *m* denotes the number of recognizable states that each sensor can assume, ultimately limited by the signal-to-noise ratio of the system. Even if only two receptor states, active and inactive, were recognized, this would enable roughly 2^{1000} potential odor stimuli to be discriminated in mice. Second, the fact that structurally and perceptually similar odorant molecules will activate correspondingly overlapping sets of olfactory receptors (ORs) (Linster et al., 2001b,

2002; Cleland *et al.*, 2002) establishes a basis for the recognition of stimulus similarity in the olfactory system. This is a prerequisite for basic postsensory cognitive processes such as generalization (Shepard and Chang, 1963; Shepard, 1987; Cleland *et al.*, 2002) and a tolerance for variance among repeated stimulus samples that a labeled-line system would have no clear means of generating.

Distributed patterns of activity in response to chemical stimuli are transmitted to the OB via OSN axons that terminate in the glomeruli of its input layer. The OB is believed to filter and transform these incoming sensory data, performing normalization, contrast enhancement, and similar operations before conveying the processed olfactory information to several different secondary olfactory structures via mitral cell axon collaterals (Cleland and Linster, 2003). Notably, the bulb constitutes the last common stage at which olfactory sensory representations can be processed before the signal diverges dramatically into these multiple secondary structures. It is clear from recent investigations that the perceptual qualities of odorants can be predicted, to a limited degree, from the patterns of activation that they evoke at the OB input layer (Linster and Hasselmo, 1999; Linster et al., 2001b, 2002; Cleland et al., 2002). However, several aspects of odor perception, for example, changes in perception and discrimination capacity due to odor intensity or prior experience, cannot be predicted solely by this first-order representation as reflected in glomerular activation patterns. Nor is the converse true; mitral cell responses in behaving animals cannot be predicted solely by the odor(s) presented but depend substantially on odor contingency (Kay and Laurent, 1999), as previously suggested in field potential recordings from the OB (Di Prisco and Freeman, 1985; Gray et al., 1986; Freeman and Grajski, 1987; Grajski and Freeman, 1989). Furthermore, the OB receives substantial centrifugal projections from both cortical and neuromodulatory centers, and its responses to odor presentations are strongly regulated by these centrifugal inputs as well as odor learning and experience (Kay et al., 1996; Kay, 2003, 2005; Ravel et al., 2003; Wilson and Stevenson, 2003; Martin et al., 2004; Wilson et al., 2004). It is therefore safe to assume that the OB plays an important role in processing incoming sensory information. Accordingly, many models of OB signal processing have been developed, which are grouped here into studies of (1) filtering and contrast enhancement, (2) mechanisms underlying oscillations and spike synchronization, and (3) odor segmentation and associative memory function. In addition, a number of detailed biophysical models of bulbar neurons have been constructed, in many cases to address how their intrinsic properties underlie and interact with network properties.

Filtering and contrast enhancement

The high convergence ratio between OSNs expressing a particular odorant receptor and their target glomeruli in the OB

(Figure 1A) is believed to improve the signal-to-noise ratio during odorant detection (van Drongelen et al., 1978), potentially overcoming the limiting noise inherent in the transduction mechanisms of individual OSNs (Lowe and Gold, 1995). In principle, this improves the coding capacity of the olfactory system, increasing the number of different odor-specific patterns that can be discriminated, as well as improves the effective maximum sensitivity of the system (Duchamp-Viret et al., 1989; Duchamp-Viret et al., 2000) (Figure 1B). This property may also contribute toward increasing the range of odor ligand concentrations that can be represented by OB glomeruli without saturation (Figure 1C). Specifically, the modeling of signal transduction and convergence properties among OSNs suggested that regulation of the intracellular gain between G protein-coupled odorant receptors and their cyclic nucleotide-gated channel effectors could underlie both an extremely high sensitivity for odorant stimuli and a substantial broadening of the dose-response profile of OB glomeruli (Cleland and Linster, 1999). This hypothesis provides a possible solution to the conundrum of how collective concentration-response curves measured by glomerular imaging can be substantially broader than those measured in individual OSN recordings (Duchamp-Viret et al., 1990; Friedrich and Korsching, 1997; Bozza et al., 2002), enabling preservation of the ratios of activation levels among glomeruli across broader concentration ranges and hence potentially facilitating the recognition of odor quality across changes in concentration. Another approach has been suggested by Anton and colleagues (1991). Noting that the activity of each glomerulus is sampled and conveyed centrally by a number of mitral cells (on the order of 50 in hamsters; Schoenfeld and Knott, 2004), and that mitral cell firing frequencies do not scale monotonically with concentration as do those of OSNs (Harrison and Scott, 1986; Meredith, 1986; Wellis et al., 1989), these authors proposed that the synaptic circuitry within each glomerulus could compute a frequency-to-spatial transformation on the incoming information. That is, the number of responding mitral cells within a glomerulus, rather than their firing rates, would reflect the firing rates of the sensory neurons projecting to that glomerulus in response to odor stimulation (Figure 1D).

Contrast enhancement is a common property of sensory systems that narrows (sharpens) sensory representations by specifically inhibiting neurons on the periphery of the representation, thus enhancing the contrast between signal and background (Figure 2A). A number of computational models have investigated the contrast enhancement potential of OB circuitry, most of which, by analogy with the retina, have investigated the potential role of lateral inhibitory projections. Classically, bulb models have emphasized lateral inhibition mediated by mitral cell lateral dendrites (Rall and Shepherd, 1968; Shepherd and Brayton, 1979; Schild, 1988; Urban, 2002; Davison *et al.*, 2003). These lateral dendrites form reciprocal synapses with inhibitory granule cell spines in the external plexiform layer of the bulb, forming a network through



Figure 1 Glomerular computations and convergence. (A) Simplified structure of the OB as represented in most computational models. Large populations of olfactory sensory neurons (osn) expressing the same receptor (particular shades of gray) converge onto common glomeruli (glom) in the OB, within which they synapse onto the dendrites of periglomerular (pg) and mitral (mi) cells. Mitral cells also excite granule cells (gr) via their lateral dendrites, and granule cells in turn inhibit mitral cells; functionally, this mediates lateral inhibition among mitral cells. PG cells are primarily associated with one or a small number of glomeruli and project axons to a few other glomeruli; these have also been suggested to mediate lateral inhibition between mitral cells. A number of other cell types have also been characterized in the bulb, such as short axon and tufted cells, that are rarely included in computational models; furthermore, established heterogeneity within the cell types modeled has usually been neglected. (B) The high convergence of OSN axons onto mitral cells within each glomerulus significantly improves the signal-to-noise ratio in mitral cells as compared to OSNs. Even if a weak odorant stimulus were to evoke only a single additional spike in each OSN above its basal rate of spiking (OSN activity; bold lines), this high convergence ratio can generate a robust odor response in a postsynaptic mitral cell (mitral cell activity), hence enabling mitral cells to reliably represent odor stimuli at lower concentrations than can OSNs (van Drongelen et al., 1978). Horizontal bars depict the time of odorant presentation. The signal-to-noise ratio (SNR: ratio of stimulus-evoked spikes to all spikes) that can be measured in mitral cells is improved (larger) compared to that measured in OSNs. (C) While OSN concentration-activation curves are steep, enabling accurate representations of ligand-receptor binding over a range of roughly 1-log-unit concentration, the considerably broader curves observed in glomeruli can be explained if the convergent OSN population is nonuniform in spare receptor capacity or other determinants of intracellular gain (Cleland and Linster, 1999). If OSNs with identical odorant selectivity but exhibiting different functional spare receptor capacities (different half-activation values) project onto a single glomerulus, the summed concentration-activation curve of that glomerulus can span several log units of concentration. (D) Frequency-to-spatial transformation by local glomerular circuits proposes that different populations of mitral cells within each glomerulus are activated as a function of the average firing rate of the convergent OSNs (Anton et al., 1991). Lowconcentration odorants (left panel) evoke weak activity in a given receptor-specific OSN subpopulation, generating increased activity in only one mitral cell. In contrast, a high-concentration odor stimulus (right panel) evokes greater activity in the OSN population and hence generates measurable excitatory responses in three mitral cells. Horizontal bars depict the time of odorant presentation.

which mitral cells inhibit one another as well as themselves (Isaacson and Strowbridge, 1998), although the region receiving this inhibition is not clearly localized (Luo and Katz, 2001; Debarbieux *et al.*, 2003; Djurisic *et al.*, 2004). Subsequent models proposed that contrast enhancement was instead mediated by lateral inhibition mediated by the relatively superficial periglomerular (PG) cells (Linster and Gervais, 1996; Linster and Hasselmo, 1997) (Figures 1A and 2B). This hypothesis offered the substantial advantage that lateral inhibition could be delivered onto mitral cells in the glomerular region of their apical dendrites, a location better capable of preventing spike initiation in these cells ow-

ing to the close proximity of excitatory and inhibitory inputs (Liu, 2004; Mel and Schiller, 2004). In a model based on this hypothesis, Linster and Hasselmo (1997) further showed that if the activation of PG cells is modulated by cholinergic inputs from the horizontal limb of the diagonal band, a relatively stable number of active mitral cells can be maintained independent of the intensity of olfactory input or the set of OSNs activated by the odorant. In this model, granule cells served instead to modulate the gain of mitral cell activity and were necessary in order to obtain stable average firing rates. Indeed, subsequent studies have shown that PG cells are appropriately modulated by acetylcholine (Castillo *et al.*, 1999),



Figure 2 Contrast enhancement. (A) Contrast enhancement is a phenomenon observed in most sensory systems by which marginally activated neurons are excluded from a stimulus-specific ensemble by inhibition, hence sharpening the sensory representation and differentiating it from other, similar representations. In the absence of contrast enhancement, the tuning curves of cells 1 and 2 substantially overlap (left panel), and the stimulus represented by the vertical line evokes activity in both cells. If contrast enhancement is enabled, for example, by the addition of lateral inhibition such that each cell inhibits the other, the two cells both become more narrowly tuned, and their receptive fields may no longer overlap. The stimulus represented by the vertical line now evokes activity only in cell 2, while cell 1 is inhibited (right panel). (B) Computational models of the OB have proposed that inhibitory PG cells could mediate contrast enhancement in the glomerular layer of the OB. In these models, PG cells receive direct sensory input within a given glomerulus and inhibit mitral cells in neighboring glomeruli via axonal projections. If an odor stimulus activates a range of neighboring glomeruli, increasing the level of PG cell-mediated inhibition would lead to concomitantly sharpened representations among mitral cells. Left panel: in the absence of PG cell-mediated inhibition, mitral cell activity directly reflects OSN activity. Right panel: the addition of inhibitory projections mediated by PG activity inhibits the more weakly activated mitral cell out of the active ensemble. With strong contrast enhancement, only the most strongly activated mitral cells become activated by odor inputs, while weakly activated mitral cells are inhibited. (C) Nontopographical contrast enhancement based on local glomerular computations (Cleland and Sethupathy, 2004). In this model, contrast enhancement is generated within the stimulus-response profile of each individual glomerulus, resulting in sharpening of the odor-evoked representation across the glomerular layer. Within each glomerulus, mitral (Mi_{in}) and periglomerular (PG_{in}) cells have the same tuning curves but different response properties and both receive parallel input from OSNs. Mitral cell output (Miout) is additionally shaped by PG-mediated dendrodendritic inhibition, such that only the most strongly excited mitral cells are activated (lower right panel), while more weakly excited mitral cells exhibit net inhibitory responses (lower left panel). The shape of the Mi_{out} curve generates the familiar on-center/inhibitory-surround function of contrast enhancement (inset).

and some of the behavioral predictions from these models have been confirmed in rats (Linster *et al.*, 2001a; Linster and Cleland, 2002).

Contrast enhancement, the effects of which have been directly observed in the OB (Yokoi *et al.*, 1995), can be functionally defined as a process of competition between neurons proportional to the similarity of the information that they mediate. Simplified models of the olfactory system, based on one-dimensional odor subspaces, have been able to implement contrast enhancement using lateral inhibition (Linster and Gervais, 1996; Linster and Hasselmo, 1997, 1999; Linster and Smith, 1997; Linster and Cleland, 2001; Cleland and Linster, 2002) as well as spike synchrony (Cleland and Linster, 2002) and have been effective at interpreting behavioral and physiological data derived from single

monotonically varying odorant series (Yokoi *et al.*, 1995; Linster and Hasselmo, 1999; Cleland *et al.*, 2002; Cleland and Narla, 2003). However, to escape this limitation and model more realistic, high-dimensional odor spaces (Hudson, 1999; Korsching, 2001; Alkasab *et al.*, 2002), subsequent models have relied upon networks constructed so that the strength of PG-mediated inhibition is effectively proportional to receptive field similarity rather than the physical proximity of glomeruli. One network model based on this assumption has been shown to best reproduce calcium imaging data obtained from honeybee OSNs and projection neurons (analogous to mitral cells), while networks based on nearestneighbor lateral inhibition performed comparably to networks based on random inhibitory projections (Linster *et al.*, 2005). Another such model has successfully reproduced

mixture processing properties measured in rats (Wiltrout et al., 2003; Linster and Cleland, 2004). One set of models has proposed a means for contrast enhancement to be effected by spike synchronization, independent of the underlying firing rates (Linster and Cleland, 2001; Cleland and Linster, 2002). Finally, nontopographical models of contrast enhancement are also capable of distributing inhibition in proportion to receptive field similarity, but they approach the problem differently, relying on intraglomerular computations and broad feedback inhibition to effect contrast enhancement via a "winner-take-most" algorithm in which the most active neurons inhibit those that are less active (Figure 2C; Cleland and Sethupathy, 2004). Furthermore, unlike mechanisms based upon lateral projections, nontopographical contrast enhancement does not require a built-in foreknowledge of the similarities in molecular receptive ranges expressed by different OB glomeruli in order to distribute inhibition correctly and is entirely independent of the physical location of glomeruli within the OB.

Mechanisms underlying oscillations and spike synchronization

While recent models have begun to favor glomerular-layer mechanisms for contrast enhancement, granule cell activity also clearly shapes mitral cell response patterns and hence the presumptive odor representations that emerge from the OB. Specifically, several computational models of the OB have suggested that the temporal pattern of spiking among mitral cells may play a role in odor representation (Schild, 1988; Meredith, 1992; White et al., 1992, 1998; White and Kauer, 2001). While it is clear that temporal response patterns in mitral cells do change as a function of odor identity, there is as yet no broadly accepted theory of how these response patterns may contribute to the representation of odorant stimuli. Figure 3A illustrates an example of how odor identity could be represented by temporal patterning in mitral cells. Each of four odors A-D evokes characteristic temporal spike patterns in two mitral cells (left panel). If the instantaneous spike rates of these mitral cells are plotted against each other during the odor response, each odor evokes a different trajectory representative of the odor (right panel).

One of the most widely studied features of OB processing has been the dynamic oscillatory activity patterns observed in the bulb in response to odor stimulation, particularly given the observation that mitral cell spiking is correlated in time with these field oscillations (Freeman and Grajski, 1987; Eeckman and Freeman, 1990; Kay *et al.*, 1996; Kashiwadani *et al.*, 1999; Kay, 2003). Some researchers have proposed that odor quality may be represented in dynamic attractors formed in the OB (Freeman, 1979, 1987, 1994; Li and Hopfield, 1989; Erdi *et al.*, 1993; Fukai, 1996; Hoshino *et al.*, 1998; Breakspear, 2001). Models of these phenomena have traditionally evaluated these responses as coupled oscillators, attributing the dynamics to reciprocal feedback inter-

actions between mitral cell secondary dendrites and granule cells (Figure 3B; Freeman, 1979, 1987, 1994; Li and Hopfield, 1989; Grobler and Erdi, 1991; Erdi et al., 1993; Ermentrout and Kleinfeld, 2001). However, several studies have focused on intraglomerular mechanisms such as gap junctions mediating the synchronization of mitral cells emerging from the same glomerulus (Schoppa and Westbrook, 2001, 2002; Schoppa and Urban, 2003; Christie et al., 2005; Hayar et al., 2005). Indeed, a recent model of these intraglomerular interactions supports these proposals, suggesting that reciprocal coupling among mitral cell apical dendrites could be instrumental in generating local spike synchronization (Migliore *et al.*, 2005). It is also increasingly clear that the dynamics of the OB are tightly coupled with those of the piriform cortex and that both depend on mutual feedback between the two structures (Gray and Skinner, 1988; Neville and Haberly, 2003; Martin et al., 2004); combined bulbcortex models have suggested possible roles for these interactions (Fukai, 1996; Li and Hertz, 2000). Other aspects of piriform cortical dynamics have also been modeled (Wilson and Bower, 1992; Liljenstrom and Hasselmo, 1995; Claverol et al., 2002; Xu and Principe, 2004), albeit with less focus on their functional role (but see Granger and Lynch, 1991).

Recently, models of these field oscillatory properties have begun to emphasize their relationship to the regulation of spike timing in mitral cells (Davison et al., 2003; Margrie and Schaefer, 2003), suggesting that patterns of spike synchronization among mitral cells responding to the same sensory input are important contributors to the odorant representation at this level. In the example shown in Figure 3C, the average firing rates of the two model mitral cells do not contribute to the differentiation of odors A and B. However, the phase of firing during oscillatory cycles does contribute odor identity information sufficient to discriminate these two odors. This type of coding scheme was first proposed for the insect antennal lobe by Laurent and coworkers (Laurent, 1996; Laurent et al., 1996; Stopfer et al., 1997), supported by behavioral data demonstrating that a reduction in the synchronization of projection neuron action potentials impaired odor discrimination in honeybees (Stopfer *et al.*, 1997). This phenomenon was subsequently studied in genetically modified mice (Nusser et al., 2001) and has been modeled by several groups. These models have primarily served to illustrate and emphasize the circuit properties and mechanisms by which the regulation of spike synchronization among secondary neurons could contribute to odor representations. Specifically, some models have explored the biophysical bases of slow temporal patterning and fast oscillogenesis among secondary olfactory neurons (Bazhenov et al., 2001), while others have demonstrated how the regulation of spike synchronization among secondary neurons can influence the readout of information at the next level of processing (Perez-Orive et al., 2004; Sivan and Kopell, 2004), facilitate contrast enhancement, and underlie



Figure 3 Oscillations and synchrony. **(A)** Any given mitral cell in the OB may respond to different odorant stimuli (A, B, C, and D) with a variety of temporally complex spike patterns including interwoven excitatory and inhibitory phases. It has been proposed that these temporal patterns may contribute to odor representations in the vertebrate OB and the analogous insect antennal lobe (Laurent, 1999; Laurent *et al.*, 2001). If the instantaneous firing rates of two cells are depicted as a function of each other, a distinct trajectory (in time) can be plotted for each odorant stimulation. For clarity, three discrete epochs are depicted rather than a continuous function; the three time windows depicted during odorant presentation (left panels; horizontal bar) correspond to the three vectors comprising each trajectory in the graphs (right panels). In contrast, if average firing rates over the application of the stimulus were plotted, the responses to the three odorants could not be differentiated (not shown). **(B)** The reciprocal synaptic interactions between mitral (Mi) and granule (Gr) cells have often been simulated as a system of coupled oscillators driven by external inputs. In such models, the variance among the stimulus amplitudes across these inputs generates a map of field oscillations with variable amplitudes and fixed phase lags across the OB. For clarity, bidirectional connections are depicted oscillatory dynamics are believed to reflect and/or influence spike timing in mitral cells, potentially resulting in odor-specific populations of mitral cells based on spike synchrony rather than overall activity. While the overall activity patterns evoked by odors A and B are very similar, selection for spikes relatively synchronized with one another and with the oscillatory field potential reveals two clearly odor-specific subpopulations. Consequently, when the firing rates of the two cells are plotted against one another, the representations of the two odors can be observed (right panel). In contrast, when

the salience of olfactory stimuli (Linster and Cleland, 2001; Cleland and Linster, 2002).

Odor segmentation and associative memory function

Odor segmentation is the general term for the problem of how the olfactory system is able to segregate and identify different odorants that are encountered simultaneously. As most odors comprise multiple separate odorant molecules, it is far from clear how the olfactory system can parse the multitude of odorant stimuli present at any given time and attribute each to appropriately separate sources. One approach has been to hypothesize that odors emitted from different sources can be segregated by OB circuitry based upon their differential fluctuations in time (Fort and Rospars, 1992; Hendin *et al.*, 1998; Hopfield, 1999). Odor segmentation could thereafter be performed in the OB using source-separation algorithms dependent upon associative memory function. Generally, such models hypothesize that associative memories for patterns of OB activity evoked by known odorants become embedded in bulbar circuitry and can then be used to recognize these patterns when they recur, even in degraded form. Specifically, a model by Hendin *et al.* (1998) illustrates how, if the glomerular layer feeds into a mitral-granule cell layer for which appropriate dynamics for an associative memory function have been implemented, each odor can be separately represented in successive inhalation cycles when multiple (known) odors are presented at the same time.

Olfactory associative memory functions have been more commonly attributed to the piriform cortex, one of the

targets of mitral cell axons projecting from the OB. Specifically, the piriform cortex has been proposed to mediate the associative memory functions necessary for odorcontext learning (Haberly and Bower, 1989; Hasselmo et al., 1990; Haberly, 2001) and hierarchical clustering (Ambros-Ingerson et al., 1990). For example, cortical short-term synaptic depression can be employed to filter out stable background odorants, whereas long-term synaptic plasticity can store associations between neurons responding to the same odor stimuli (Figure 4). Indeed, the extensive intrinsic feedback network in this cortex and its integration with afferent inputs closely resembles the structure of traditional theoretical associative memory networks as first described by Marr (1971). Several laboratories have constructed models of piriform cortex, implementing these associative memory functions (Haberly and Bower, 1989; Ambros-Ingerson et al., 1990; Hasselmo et al., 1990; Barkai and Hasselmo, 1994; Hasselmo et al., 1997) as well as exploring the role of cortical cholinergic modulation in their regulation (Hasselmo et al., 1990, 1997; Patil and

Hasselmo, 1999; Linster and Hasselmo, 2001; Linster et al., 2003).

Detailed biophysical models

Most models of the olfactory system to date have emphasized network-level interactions and the properties of OB and piriform cortical circuitry using simplified cellular models conducive to these larger scale simulations. However, several relatively detailed biophysical models of olfactory neurons—particularly OSNs and mitral cells—have also been constructed. Biophysical (or compartmental) models focus on membrane and cellular properties rather than network phenomena; to this end, they include substantial morphological, biophysical, and/or physiological detail. For example, membranes are modeled with membrane resistivity and capacitance values drawn from experimental data, with realistic or data-derived lengths, diameters, and morphological branching patterns along with models of passive and active ion channels inserted in appropriate regions of the model



Figure 4 Associative memory function in olfactory cortex. **(A)** Piriform cortex exhibits the fundamental anatomical features necessary for the implementation of associative memory: extrinsic input from the OB to each pyramidal cell via the lateral olfactory tract (LOT) and extensive intrinsic excitatory connections among pyramidal cells. These intrinsic associative connections are subject to synaptic plasticity, also crucial for associative memory function, and exhibit long-term potentiation (LTP). Additionally, several classes of local inhibitory interneurons have been described in the piriform cortex. Piriform pyramidal neurons project back to the OB, as well as to other structures such as the entorhinal cortex (EC). **(B)** Schematic representation of an associative memory network. The critical features are (1) external inputs to associative neurons, (2) all-to-all excitatory connections among these neurons (association fibers), and (3) a learning rule that modifies the strengths of these connections when external inputs are being learned by the network (modifiable synapses). **(C)** Learning and recall in an associative memory network. Learning (left panel). An olfactory stimulus activates a subset of pyramidal cells (three dark cells) via distributed afferent projections from the OB to the PC. During learning, excitatory synaptic connections between pyramidal cells activated by that odorant are strengthened via a Hebbian plasticity rule (dark semicircles denote strengthened synapses). Recall (right panel). After learning a pattern, if a noisy or degraded example of that pattern is presented to the system, the stored odorant pattern can be reconstructed due to the previously strengthened connections. Dark semicircles denote previously strengthened synapses, activation of just two dark cells secondarily activates a third cell (gray), reconstructing the previously learned pattern.

neuron. Biophysical models usually can be directly interrelated with electrophysiological data. However, they are often poor choices for large-scale models, due to the large numbers of weakly defined parameters as well as their substantial computational costs. Several detailed OSN models have illustrated how ligand-receptor binding and nonlinear transduction processes can underlie the experimentally observed response properties of these cells (Malaka et al., 1995; Rospars et al., 1996; Vermeulen et al., 1996, 1997; Lansky and Rospars, 1998; Vermeulen and Rospars, 1998; Kaissling, 1998, 2001; Cleland and Linster, 1999; Rospars et al., 2000; Kaissling and Rospars, 2004). Compartmental models of mitral cells have been used to elucidate intrinsic cellular phenomena, such as the localization of spike initiation in mitral cells (Shen et al., 1999; Chen et al., 2002) and the effects of intraglomerular gap junctions (Migliore et al., 2005), as well as synaptic phenomena such as longterm potentiation at the OSN-mitral synapse (Ennis et al., 1998). Other compartmental models have focused on bridging the gap between cellular and systems properties in both vertebrate and insect systems (Bhalla and Bower, 1993; Davison et al., 2000, 2003; Bazhenov et al., 2001; Cleland and Sethupathy, 2004). These detailed models make a clear case that the morphological and biophysical properties of OB neurons underlie and define their computational capabilities. While many emergent network properties are best studied with simple cellular models, biophysical models have revealed computational mechanisms that are beyond the capacity of these simpler models to elucidate. Ultimately, reconciliation of these detailed models with largescale functional models will be necessary for progress in the understanding of olfactory processing.

Synthesis

Computational models of the olfactory system have contributed immensely to the framing of experimental problems and the construction of complex hypotheses regarding its function. Here, we briefly outline a working hypothesis of olfactory system function, integrating the insights derived from the models reviewed above and constrained by their supporting data sets.

Inhaled odorants bind to specific populations of ORs expressed on the apical surface of primary OSNs, which are distributed across the nasal epithelium. ORs are broadly tuned for odorant ligands, such that even simple monomolecular odorants activate a number of receptor types to differing degrees, producing combinatorial patterns of activation among OR classes that reflect odor quality. While the pattern of OSN activation depends primarily upon the ligand-receptor affinities of multiple OR populations for the various molecular moieties (odotopes) of odors' component molecules, it also is likely influenced by other factors such as the fluid dynamics of inhalation, the net molecular sorptiveness of odorant molecules, and the behavioral

regulation of odor sampling (reviewed in Schoenfeld and Cleland, 2005). Other physical factors that affect the pattern of OSN activation include the concentration of odorants as well as interference caused by overlaps among the representations of multiple odotopes that are simultaneously presented to the olfactory epithelium. Different odotopes may compete for receptors for which they have not only different affinities but also different efficacies (Duchamp-Viret et al., 2003; Araneda et al., 2004; Oka et al., 2004; Sanz et al., 2005), such that a reduction in the activation of an OR genespecific OSN population may as well connote an increased concentration of a relatively antagonistic odotope as a reduced concentration of an agonist odotope. In short, the pattern of OSN activation in any natural scene context is likely to be an unknown composite of multiple, overlapping, and degraded primary odorant representations. It is from this unpromising raw material that the olfactory system must detect and identify relevant stimuli.

OSNs expressing the same OR and hence sharing the same molecular receptive range project their axons to specific glomeruli within the OB input layer (Mombaerts et al., 1996). Hence, the primary olfactory representation can be conveniently measured by imaging glomeruli: that is, the axonal arborizations of convergent OSNs in the OB glomerular layer. Some glomerular imaging techniques are explicitly presynaptic (Friedrich and Korsching, 1997; Wachowiak et al., 2002), even those that are not are likely to reflect predominantly presynaptic activity due to the disproportionate number of OSNs arborizing within each glomerulus compared with the number of bulbar neurons arborizing therein (Shepherd and Greer, 1998; Schoenfeld and Knott, 2004); glomeruli, of course, do not contain cell bodies. Under simple experimental conditions, these glomerular response profiles are predictive of odor quality, as measured behaviorally (Johnson and Leon, 2000; Linster et al., 2001b; Cleland et al., 2002; Leon and Johnson, 2003). However, this concordance is not robust even to changes in odorant concentrations and certainly cannot be expected to persist in the context of a complex olfactory natural scene.

Mitral cells, along with middle and deep tufted cells, are the principal output neurons of the OB. They are directly postsynaptic to OSNs, and as such the pattern of mitral/tufted cell activation across the bulb constitutes the secondary olfactory representation. In mammals, mitral cells sample from only a single glomerulus, hence a glomerulus along with its associated mitral cells and interneurons has been referred to as an "odor column" (Shepherd and Greer, 1998) that derives its receptive field primarily from a single population of convergent OSNs. However, the mitral/tufted activation pattern also depends on the activity of several classes of bulbar interneurons and hence is substantially transformed with respect to the primary olfactory representation. For example, some form of normalization of stimulus concentrations is clearly evident in the concentration-response profiles of mitral cells. Mitral cell activity patterns are

relatively stable across concentrations compared with the changes in OSN responses (Chalansonnet and Chaput, 1998), and when they are affected by odorant concentration changes, these changes are often complex and difficult to predict. Some mitral cells progress from excitation to inhibition with increasing concentrations, while others become more excited, often exhibiting shorter latencies to first spike; other cells display yet other profiles (Harrison and Scott, 1986; Meredith, 1986; Wellis et al., 1989; Chalansonnet and Chaput, 1998). What is clear, however, is that mitral cells do not monotonically increase their activity in response to increased stimulus intensities, nor, consequently, is there likely to be a substantial broadening of mitral cell activity across the bulb owing to the recruitment of lower affinity receptors. These are two essential ways in which the structure of the secondary (mitral) olfactory representation differs from that of the primary representation as measured among OSNs, and this transformation provides essential constraints to models of bulbar mechanisms.

Normalization, the process by which sets of values are rescaled to a common or tractable range, requires a negative feedback loop that is effectively global in scope; that is, the strength of feedback inhibition should be scaled to the average activity across the bulb rather than to local activation levels if the profiles of relative activation among odor columns are to be preserved. Contrast enhancement, in turn, requires the delivery of inhibition onto odor columns proportional to the activation of columns exhibiting similar receptive fields (molecular receptive ranges). In the OB, the synaptic triad connecting OSN arbors, PG cell dendritic spines, and mitral cell dendrites in close proximity (reviewed by Shepherd and Greer, 1998) coupled with the lateral excitatory network mediated by external tufted and short axon cells (Aungst et al., 2003; Hayar et al., 2004) can effect both normalization and contrast enhancement between the primary and secondary olfactory representations (Cleland and Sethupathy, 2004). Briefly, OSNs activate mitral cells, external tufted cells, and PG cell dendritic spines in parallel, and these PG spines deliver inhibition onto mitral cell apical dendrites, closely apposing the excitatory OSN inputs. Nontopographical contrast enhancement models (Cleland and Sethupathy, 2004) predict that PG cell-mediated feedforward inhibition of the mitral cell will predominate when the odor column is weakly activated, while direct OSN activation of the mitral cell will predominate when the odor column is strongly activated (Figure 2C), consistent with recordings from rabbit mitral cells (Yokoi et al., 1995). Normalization is effected via the activation of external tufted cells, which proportionately excite a lateral excitatory network of external tufted and short axon cells, thereby broadly inhibiting mitral cell activity across the bulb via sign-inverting PG cells.

The net activation of mitral cells is then translated into trains of action potentials, the precise timing of which appears to be regulated by coordinated oscillations measurable in field recordings across the OB. These bulbar oscilla-

tions are thought to depend on an extensive excitatoryinhibitory network of mitral cell secondary dendrites and granule cell interneurons, as well as reciprocal cortical connections that modulate bulbar activity according to behavioral state (Kay, 2003; Ravel et al., 2003; Lagier et al., 2004; Martin et al., 2004). Mitral cell spike synchronization patterns can mediate a second level of feature extraction to the odor representation, as follower neurons in diverse central olfactory structures (Cleland and Linster, 2003) process incoming mitral cell spikes via synaptic learning rules, the best known of which rely upon precise spike timing (Song et al., 2000; Cleland and Linster, 2002). Centrifugal modulatory inputs also influence olfactory processing and learning mechanisms within the OB (Sullivan et al., 2000; Linster and Cleland, 2002; Yuan et al., 2003), emphasizing the active role of the OB in shaping and transforming odor signals and the importance of behavioral state.

Conclusions

Computational models have an established and growing role within systems neuroscience. As our understanding of neural processing and interactions becomes more sophisticated, computer models of these systems are increasingly necessary in order to understand and interpret experimental results. In the olfactory system in particular, computational modeling will no doubt be essential to understand the integration of the many factors influencing the construction and transformation of odor representations.

References

- Adrian, E.D. (1953) Sensory messages and sensation; the response of the olfactory organ to different smells. Acta Physiol. Scand., 29, 5–14.
- Alkasab, T.K., White, J. and Kauer, J.S. (2002) A computational system for simulating and analyzing arrays of biological and artificial chemical sensors. Chem. Senses, 27, 261–275.
- Ambros-Ingerson, J., Granger, R. and Lynch, G. (1990) Simulation of paleocortex performs hierarchical clustering. Science, 247, 1344–1348.
- Anton, P.S., Lynch, G. and Granger, R. (1991) Computation of frequencyto-spatial transform by olfactory bulb glomeruli. Biol. Cybern., 65, 407– 414.
- Araneda, R.C., Peterlin, Z., Zhang, X., Chesler, A. and Firestein, S. (2004) A pharmacological profile of the aldehyde receptor repertoire in rat olfactory epithelium. J. Physiol., 555(Pt 3), 743–756.
- Aungst, J.L., Heyward, P.M., Puche, A.C., Karnup, S.V., Hayar, A., Szabo, G. and Shipley, M.T. (2003) Centre-surround inhibition among olfactory bulb glomeruli. Nature, 426, 623–629.
- Barkai, E. and Hasselmo, M.E. (1994) Modulation of the input/output function of rat piriform cortex pyramidal cells. J. Neurophysiol., 72, 644–658.
- Bazhenov, M., Stopfer, M., Rabinovich, M., Abarbanel, H.D., Sejnowski, T.J. and Laurent, G. (2001) Model of cellular and network mechanisms for odor-evoked temporal patterning in the locust antennal lobe. Neuron, 30, 569–581.
- Bhalla, U.S. and Bower, J.M. (1993) Exploring parameter space in detailed single neuron models: simulations of the mitral and granule cells of the olfactory bulb. J. Neurophysiol., 69, 1948–1965.

- Bozza, T., Feinstein, P., Zheng, C. and Mombaerts, P. (2002) Odorant receptor expression defines functional units in the mouse olfactory system.
 J. Neurosci., 22, 3033–3043.
- Breakspear, M. (2001) Perception of odors by a nonlinear model of the olfactory bulb. Int. J. Neural. Syst., 11, 101–124.
- Castillo, P.E., Carleton, A., Vincent, J.D. and Lledo, P.M. (1999) Multiple and opposing roles of cholinergic transmission in the main olfactory bulb. J. Neurosci., 19, 9180–9191.
- Chalansonnet, M. and Chaput, M.A. (1998) Olfactory bulb output cell temporal response patterns to increasing odor concentrations in freely breathing rats. Chem. Senses, 23, 1–9.
- Chen, W.R., Shen, G.Y., Shepherd, G.M., Hines, M.L. and Midtgaard, J. (2002) Multiple modes of action potential initiation and propagation in mitral cell primary dendrite. J. Neurophysiol., 88, 2755–2764.
- Christie, J.M., Bark, C., Hormuzdi, S.G., Helbig, I., Monyer, H. and Westbrook, G.L. (2005) Connexin36 mediates spike synchrony in olfactory bulb glomeruli. Neuron, 46, 761–772.
- **Claverol, E.T., Brown, A.D.** and **Chad, J.E.** (2002) *A large-scale simulation of the piriform cortex by a cell automaton-based network model.* IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng., 49, 921–935.
- Cleland, T.A. and Linster, C. (1999) Concentration tuning mediated by spare receptor capacity in olfactory sensory neurons: a theoretical study. Neural. Comput., 11, 1673–1690.
- Cleland, T.A. and Linster, C. (2002) How synchronization properties among second-order sensory neurons can mediate stimulus salience. Behav. Neurosci., 116, 212–221.
- Cleland, T.A. and Linster, C. (2003) Central olfactory processing. In Doty, R.L. (ed.), Handbook of Olfaction and Gustation, 2nd ed. Marcel Dekker, New York, pp. 165–180.
- Cleland, T.A., Morse, A., Yue, E.L. and Linster, C. (2002) Behavioral models of odor similarity. Behav. Neurosci., 116, 222–231.
- Cleland, T.A. and Narla, V.A. (2003) Intensity modulation of olfactory acuity. Behav. Neurosci., 117, 1434–1440.
- **Cleland, T.A.** and **Sethupathy, P.** (2004) *Non-topographical contrast enhancement disambiguates high-dimensional odor representations.* Soc. Neurosci. Abstr., 531.2.
- Davison, A.P., Feng, J. and Brown, D. (2000) A reduced compartmental model of the mitral cell for use in network models of the olfactory bulb. Brain Res. Bull., 51, 393–399.
- Davison, A.P., Feng, J. and Brown, D. (2003) Dendrodendritic inhibition and simulated odor responses in a detailed olfactory bulb network model. J. Neurophysiol., 90, 1921–1935.
- Debarbieux, F., Audinat, E. and Charpak, S. (2003) Action potential propagation in dendrites of rat mitral cells in vivo. J. Neurosci., 23, 5553–5560.
- Di Prisco, G.V. and Freeman, W.J. (1985) Odor-related bulbar EEG spatial pattern analysis during appetitive conditioning in rabbits. Behav. Neurosci., 99, 964–978.
- Djurisic, M., Antic, S., Chen, W.R. and Zecevic, D. (2004) Voltage imaging from dendrites of mitral cells: EPSP attenuation and spike trigger zones. J. Neurosci., 24, 6703–6714.
- Duchamp-Viret, P., Duchamp, A. and Chaput, M.A. (2000) Peripheral odor coding in the rat and frog: quality and intensity specification. J. Neurosci., 20, 2383–2390.
- **Duchamp-Viret, P., Duchamp, A.** and **Chaput, M.A.** (2003) Single olfactory sensory neurons simultaneously integrate the components of an odour mixture. Eur. J. Neurosci., 18, 2690–2696.

- **Duchamp-Viret, P., Duchamp, A.** and **Vigouroux, M.** (1989) *Amplifying role* of convergence in olfactory system a comparative study of receptor cell and second-order neuron sensitivities. J. Neurophysiol., 61, 1085–1094.
- **Duchamp-Viret, P., Duchamp, A.** and **Vigouroux, M.** (1990) *Temporal* aspects of information processing in the first two stages of the frog olfactory system: influence of stimulus intensity. Chem. Senses, 15, 349–365.
- **Eeckman, F.H.** and **Freeman, W.J.** (1990) Correlations between unit firing and EEG in the rat olfactory system. Brain. Res., 528, 238–244.
- Ennis, M., Linster, C., Aroniadou-Anderjaska, V., Ciombor, K. and Shipley, M.T. (1998) Glutamate and synaptic plasticity at mammalian primary olfactory synapses. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci., 855, 457–466.
- Erdi, P., Grobler, T., Barna, G. and Kaski, K. (1993) Dynamics of the olfactory bulb: bifurcations, learning, and memory. Biol. Cybern., 69, 57–66.
- Ermentrout, G.B. and Kleinfeld, D. (2001) Traveling electrical waves in cortex: insights from phase dynamics and speculation on a computational role. Neuron, 29, 33–44.
- Fort, J.C. and Rospars, J.P. (1992) Modelling of the qualitative discrimination of odours in the first two layers of olfactory system by Jutten and Herault algorithm. C. R. Acad. Sci. III, 315, 331–336.
- Freeman, W.J. (1979) Nonlinear dynamics of paleocortex manifested in the olfactory EEG. Biol. Cybern., 35, 21–37.
- Freeman, W.J. (1987) Simulation of chaotic EEG patterns with a dynamic model of the olfactory system. Biol. Cybern., 56, 139–150.
- Freeman, W.J. (1994) Characterization of state transitions in spatially distributed, chaotic, nonlinear, dynamical systems in cerebral cortex. Integr. Physiol. Behav. Sci., 29, 294–306.
- Freeman, W.J. and Grajski, K.A. (1987) Relation of olfactory EEG to behavior: factor analysis. Behav. Neurosci., 101, 766–777.
- Friedrich, R.W. and Korsching, S.I. (1997) Combinatorial and chemotopic odorant coding in the zebrafish olfactory bulb visualized by optical imaging. Neuron, 18, 737–752.
- Fukai, T. (1996) Bulbocortical interplay in olfactory information processing via synchronous oscillations. Biol. Cybern., 74, 309–317.
- Granger, R. and Lynch, G. (1991) *Higher olfactory processes: perceptual learning and memory.* Curr. Opin. Neurobiol., 1, 209–214.
- Grajski, K.A. and Freeman, W.J. (1989) Spatial EEG correlates of nonassociative and associative olfactory learning in rabbits. Behav. Neurosci., 103, 790–804.
- Gray, C.M., Freeman, W.J. and Skinner. J.E. (1986) Chemical dependencies of learning in the rabbit olfactory bulb: acquisition of the transient spatial pattern change depends on norepinephrine. Behav. Neurosci., 100, 585–596.
- Gray, C.M. and Skinner, J.E. (1988) Centrifugal regulation of neuronal activity in the olfactory bulb of the waking rabbit as revealed by reversible cryogenic blockade. Exp. Brain Res., 69, 378–386.
- **Grobler, T.** and **Erdi, P.** (1991) *Dynamic phenomena in the olfactory bulb.* Acta Biochim. Biophys. Hung., 26, 61–65.
- Haberly, L.B. (2001) Parallel-distributed processing in olfactory cortex: new insights from morphological and physiological analysis of neuronal circuitry. Chem. Senses, 26, 551–576.
- Haberly, L.B. and Bower, J.M. (1989) Olfactory cortex: model circuit for study of associative memory? Trends Neurosci., 12, 258–264.
- Harrison, T.A. and Scott, J.W. (1986) Olfactory bulb responses to odor stimulation: analysis of response pattern and intensity relationships. J. Neurophysiol., 56, 1571–1589.

- Hasselmo, M.E., Linster, C., Patil, M., Ma, D. and Cekic, M. (1997) Noradrenergic suppression of synaptic transmission may influence cortical signal-to-noise ratio. J. Neurophysiol., 77, 3326–3339.
- Hasselmo, M.E., Wilson, M.A., Anderson, B.P. and Bower, J.M. (1990) Associative memory function in piriform (olfactory) cortex: computational modeling and neuropharmacology. Cold Spring Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol., 55, 599–610.
- Hayar, A., Karnup, S., Ennis, M. and Shipley, M.T. (2004) External tufted cells: a major excitatory element that coordinates glomerular activity. J. Neurosci., 24, 6676–6685.
- Hayar, A., Shipley, M.T. and Ennis, M. (2005) Olfactory bulb external tufted cells are synchronized by multiple intraglomerular mechanisms. J. Neurosci., 25, 8197–8208.
- Hendin, O., Horn, D. and Tsodyks, M.V. (1998) Associative memory and segmentation in an oscillatory neural model of the olfactory bulb. J. Comput. Neurosci., 5, 157–169.
- Hopfield, J.J. (1999) Odor space and olfactory processing: collective algorithms and neural implementation. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 96, 12506–12511.
- Hoshino, O., Kashimori, Y. and Kambara, T. (1998) An olfactory recognition model based on spatio-temporal encoding of odor quality in the olfactory bulb. Biol. Cybern., 79, 109–120.
- Hudson, R. (1999) From molecule to mind: the role of experience in shaping olfactory function. J. Comp. Physiol. A, 185, 297–304.
- Isaacson, J.S. and Strowbridge, B.W. (1998) Olfactory reciprocal synapses: dendritic signaling in the CNS. Neuron, 20, 749–761.
- Johnson, B.A., Farahbod, H., Xu, Z., Saber, S. and Leon, M. (2004) Local and global chemotopic organization: general features of the glomerular representations of aliphatic odorants differing in carbon number. J. Comp. Neurol., 480, 234–249.
- Johnson, B.A. and Leon, M. (2000) Modular representations of odorants in the glomerular layer of the rat olfactory bulb and the effects of stimulus concentration. J. Comp. Neurol., 422, 496–509.
- Johnson, B.A., Woo, C.C., Hingco, E.E., Pham, K.L. and Leon, M. (1999) Multidimensional chemotopic responses to n-aliphatic acid odorants in the rat olfactory bulb. J. Comp. Neurol., 409, 529–548.
- Johnson, B.A., Woo, C.C. and Leon, M. (1998) Spatial coding of odorant features in the glomerular layer of the rat olfactory bulb. J. Comp. Neurol., 393, 457–471.
- Kaissling, K.E. (1998) A quantitative model of odor deactivation based on the redox shift of the pheromone-binding protein in moth antennae. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci., 855, 320–322.
- Kaissling, K.E. (2001) Olfactory perireceptor and receptor events in moths: a kinetic model. Chem. Senses, 26, 125–150.
- Kaissling, K.E. and Rospars, J.P. (2004) Dose-response relationships in an olfactory flux detector model revisited. Chem. Senses, 29, 529–531.
- Kashiwadani, H., Sasaki, Y.F., Uchida, N. and Mori, K. (1999) Synchronized oscillatory discharges of mitral/tufted cells with different molecular receptive ranges in the rabbit olfactory bulb. J. Neurophysiol., 82, 1786–1792.
- Kauer, J.S. (1991) Contributions of topography and parallel processing to odor coding in the vertebrate olfactory pathway. Trends Neurosci., 14, 79–85.
- Kay, L.M. (2003) Two species of gamma oscillations in the olfactory bulb: dependence on behavioral state and synaptic interactions. J. Integr. Neurosci., 2, 31–44.
- Kay, L.M. (2005) *Theta oscillations and sensorimotor performance*. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 102, 3863–3868.

- Kay, L.M., Lancaster, L.R. and Freeman, W.J. (1996) Reafference and attractors in the olfactory system during odor recognition. Int. J. Neural. Syst., 7, 489–495.
- Kay, L.M. and Laurent, G. (1999) Odor- and context-dependent modulation of mitral cell activity in behaving rats. Nat. Neurosci., 2, 1003–1009.
- Korsching, S.I. (2001) Odor maps in the brain: spatial aspects of odor representation in sensory surface and olfactory bulb. Cell. Mol. Life Sci., 58, 520–530.
- Lagier, S., Carleton, A. and Lledo, P.M. (2004) Interplay between local GABAergic interneurons and relay neurons generates gamma oscillations in the rat olfactory bulb. J. Neurosci., 24, 4382–4392.
- Lansky, P. and Rospars, J.P. (1998) Odorant concentration and receptor potential in olfactory sensory neurons. Biosystems, 48, 131–138.
- Laurent, G. (1996) Dynamical representation of odors by oscillating and evolving neural assemblies. Trends Neurosci., 19, 489–496.
- Laurent, G. (1999) A systems perspective on early olfactory coding. Science, 286, 723–728.
- Laurent, G., Stopfer, M., Friedrich, R.W., Rabinovich, M.I., Volkovskii, A. and Abarbanel, H.D. (2001) Odor encoding as an active, dynamical process: experiments, computation, and theory. Annu. Rev. Neurosci., 24, 263–297.
- Laurent, G., Wehr, M. and Davidowitz, H. (1996) Temporal representations of odors in an olfactory network. J. Neurosci., 16, 3837–3847.
- Leon, M. and Johnson, B.A. (2003) Olfactory coding in the mammalian olfactory bulb. Brain Res. Brain Res. Rev., 42, 23–32.
- Li, Z. and Hertz, J. (2000) Odour recognition and segmentation by a model olfactory bulb and cortex. Network, 11, 83–102.
- Li, Z. and Hopfield, J.J. (1989) Modeling the olfactory bulb and its neural oscillatory processings. Biol. Cybern., 61, 379–392.
- Liljenstrom, H. and Hasselmo, M.E. (1995) Cholinergic modulation of cortical oscillatory dynamics. J. Neurophysiol., 74, 288–297.
- Linster, C. and Cleland, T.A. (2001) How spike synchronization among olfactory neurons can contribute to sensory discrimination. J. Comput. Neurosci., 10, 187–193.
- Linster, C. and Cleland, T.A. (2002) Cholinergic modulation of sensory representations in the olfactory bulb. Neural Netw., 15, 709–717.
- Linster, C. and Cleland, T.A. (2004) Configurational and elemental odor mixture perception can arise from local inhibition. J. Comput. Neurosci., 16, 39–47.
- Linster, C., Garcia, P.A., Hasselmo, M.E. and Baxter, M.G. (2001a) Selective loss of cholinergic neurons projecting to the olfactory system increases perceptual generalization between similar, but not dissimilar, odorants. Behav. Neurosci., 115, 826–833.
- Linster, C. and Gervais, R. (1996) Investigation of the role of interneurons and their modulation by centrifugal fibers in a neural model of the olfactory bulb. J. Comput. Neurosci., 3, 225–246.
- Linster, C. and Hasselmo, M. (1997) Modulation of inhibition in a model of olfactory bulb reduces overlap in the neural representation of olfactory stimuli. Behav. Brain Res., 84, 117–127.
- Linster, C. and Hasselmo, M.E. (1999) Behavioral responses to aliphatic aldehydes can be predicted from known electrophysiological responses of mitral cells in the olfactory bulb. Physiol. Behav., 66, 497–502.
- Linster, C. and Hasselmo, M.E. (2001) Neuromodulation and the functional dynamics of piriform cortex. Chem. Senses, 26, 585–594.

- Linster, C., Johnson, B.A., Morse, A., Yue, E. and Leon, M. (2002) Spontaneous versus reinforced olfactory discriminations. J. Neurosci., 22, 6842–6845.
- Linster, C., Johnson, B.A., Yue, E., Morse, A., Xu, Z., Hingco, E.E., Choi, Y., Choi, M., Messiha, A. and Leon, M. (2001b) Perceptual correlates of neural representations evoked by odorant enantiomers. J. Neurosci., 21, 9837–9843.
- Linster, C., Maloney, M., Patil, M. and Hasselmo, M.E. (2003) Enhanced cholinergic suppression of previously strengthened synapses enables the formation of self-organized representations in olfactory cortex. Neurobiol. Learn. Mem., 80, 302–314.
- Linster, C., Sachse, S. and Galizia, G. (2005) Computational modeling suggests that response properties rather than spatial position determine connectivity between olfactory glomeruli. J. Neurophysiol., 93, 3410–3417.
- Linster, C. and Smith, B.H. (1997) A computational model of the response of honey bee antennal lobe circuitry to odor mixtures: overshadowing, blocking and unblocking can arise from lateral inhibition. Behav. Brain Res., 87, 1–14.
- Liu, G. (2004) Local structural balance and functional interaction of excitatory and inhibitory synapses in hippocampal dendrites. Nat. Neurosci., 7, 373– 379.
- Lowe, G. and Gold, G.H. (1995) Olfactory transduction is intrinsically noisy. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 92, 7864–7868.
- Luo, M. and Katz, L.C. (2001) Response correlation maps of neurons in the mammalian olfactory bulb. Neuron, 32, 1165–1179.
- Malaka, R., Ragg, T. and Hammer, M. (1995) Kinetic models of odor transduction implemented as artificial neural networks. Simulations of complex response properties of honeybee olfactory neurons. Biol. Cybern., 73, 195–207.
- Margrie, T.W. and Schaefer, A.T. (2003) Theta oscillation coupled spike latencies yield computational vigour in a mammalian sensory system. J. Physiol., 546(Pt 2), 363–374.
- Marr, D. (1971) *Simple memory: a theory for archicortex*. Philos. Trans. R Soc. Lond B Biol. Sci., 262, 23–81.
- Martin, C., Gervais, R., Hugues, E., Messaoudi, B. and Ravel, N. (2004) Learning modulation of odor-induced oscillatory responses in the rat olfactory bulb: a correlate of odor recognition? J. Neurosci., 24, 389–397.
- Meisami, E. (1989) A proposed relationship between increases in the number of olfactory receptor neurons, convergence ratio and sensitivity in the developing rat. Brain Res. Dev. Brain Res., 46, 9–19.
- Meister, M. and Bonhoeffer, T. (2001) *Tuning and topography in an odor* map on the rat olfactory bulb. J. Neurosci., 21, 1351–1360.
- Mel, B.W. and Schiller, J. (2004) On the fight between excitation and inhibition: location is everything. Sci. STKE, 2004, PE44.
- Meredith, M. (1986) Patterned response to odor in mammalian olfactory bulb: the influence of intensity. J. Neurophysiol., 56, 572–597.
- Meredith, M. (1992) Neural circuit computation: complex patterns in the olfactory bulb. Brain Res. Bull., 29, 111–117.
- Migliore, M., Hines, M.L. and Shepherd, G.M. (2005) The role of distal dendritic gap junctions in synchronization of mitral cell axonal output. J. Comput. Neurosci., 18, 151–161.
- Mombaerts, P. (1996) Targeting olfaction. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol., 6, 481–486.
- Mombaerts, P., Wang, F., Dulac, C., Chao, S.K., Nemes, A., Mendelsohn, M., Edmondson, J. and Axel, R. (1996) Visualizing an olfactory sensory map. Cell, 87, 675–686.

- Moulton, D.G. (1967) Spatio-temporal patterning of response in the olfactory system. In Hayashi, T. (ed.), Olfaction and Taste II. Pergamon Press, New York, pp. 109–116.
- **Neville, K.R.** and **Haberly, L.B.** (2003) *Beta and gamma oscillations in the olfactory system of the urethane-anesthetized rat.* J. Neurophysiol., 90, 3921–3930.
- Nusser, Z., Kay, L.M., Laurent, G., Homanics, G.E. and Mody, I. (2001) Disruption of GABA(A) receptors on GABAergic interneurons leads to increased oscillatory power in the olfactory bulb network. J. Neurophysiol., 86, 2823–2833.
- Oka, Y., Omura, M., Kataoka, H. and Touhara, K. (2004) Olfactory receptor antagonism between odorants. EMBO J., 23, 120–126.
- Patil, M.M. and Hasselmo, M.E. (1999) Modulation of inhibitory synaptic potentials in the piriform cortex. J. Neurophysiol., 81, 2103–2118.
- Perez-Orive, J., Bazhenov, M. and Laurent, G. (2004) Intrinsic and circuit properties favor coincidence detection for decoding oscillatory input. J. Neurosci., 24, 6037–6047.
- Rall, W. and Shepherd, G.M. (1968) Theoretical reconstruction of field potentials and dendrodendritic synaptic interactions in olfactory bulb. J. Neurophysiol., 31, 884–915.
- Ravel, N., Chabaud, P., Martin, C., Gaveau, V., Hugues, E., Tallon-Baudry, C., Bertrand, O. and Gervais, R. (2003) Olfactory learning modifies the expression of odour-induced oscillatory responses in the gamma (60–90 Hz) and beta (15–40 Hz) bands in the rat olfactory bulb. Eur. J. Neurosci., 17, 350–358.
- Rospars, J.P., Lansky, P., Duchamp-Viret, P. and Duchamp, A. (2000) Spiking frequency versus odorant concentration in olfactory receptor neurons. Biosystems, 58, 133–141.
- Rospars, J.P., Lansky, P., Tuckwell, H.C. and Vermeulen, A. (1996) Coding of odor intensity in a steady-state deterministic model of an olfactory receptor neuron. J. Comput. Neurosci., 3, 51–72.
- Rubin, B.D. and Katz, L.C. (1999) Optical imaging of odorant representations in the mammalian olfactory bulb. Neuron, 23, 499–511.
- Sanz, G., Schlegel, C., Pernollet, J.C. and Briand, L. (2005) Comparison of odorant specificity of two human olfactory receptors from different phylogenetic classes and evidence for antagonism. Chem. Senses, 30, 69–80.
- Schild, D. (1988) Principles of odor coding and a neural network for odor discrimination. Biophys. J., 54, 1011–1011.
- Schoenfeld, T.A. and Cleland, T.A. (2005) *The anatomical logic of smell.* Trends Neurosci., 28, 620–627.
- Schoenfeld, T.A. and Knott, T.K. (2004) Evidence for the disproportionate mapping of olfactory airspace onto the main olfactory bulb of the hamster. J. Comp. Neurol., 476, 186–201.
- Schoppa, N.E. and Urban, N.N. (2003) Dendritic processing within olfactory bulb circuits. Trends Neurosci., 26, 501–506.
- Schoppa, N.E. and Westbrook, G.L. (2001) Glomerulus-specific synchronization of mitral cells in the olfactory bulb. Neuron, 31, 639–651.
- Schoppa, N.E. and Westbrook, G.L. (2002) AMPA autoreceptors drive correlated spiking in olfactory bulb glomeruli. Nat. Neurosci., 5, 1194–1202.
- Shen, G.Y., Chen, W.R., Midtgaard, J., Shepherd, G.M. and Hines, M.L. (1999) Computational analysis of action potential initiation in mitral cell soma and dendrites based on dual patch recordings. J. Neurophysiol., 82, 3006–3020.
- Shepherd, G.M. and Brayton, R.K. (1979) Computer simulation of a dendrodendritic synaptic circuit for self- and lateral-inhibition in the olfactory bulb. Brain Res., 175, 377–382.

- Shepherd, G.M. and Greer, C.A. (1998) *Olfactory bulb.* In Shepherd, G.M. (ed.), The Synaptic Organization of the Brain, 4th ed. Oxford University Press, New York, pp. 159–204.
- Shepard, R.N. (1987) Toward a universal law of generalization for psychological science. Science, 237, 1317–1323.
- Shepard, R.N. and Chang, J.J. (1963) Stimulus generalization in the learning of classifications. J. Exp. Psychol., 65, 94–102.
- Sivan, E. and Kopell, N. (2004) Mechanism and circuitry for clustering and fine discrimination of odors in insects. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 101, 17861–17866.
- Song, S., Miller, K.D. and Abbott, L.F. (2000) Competitive Hebbian learning through spike-timing-dependent synaptic plasticity. Nat. Neurosci., 3, 919–926.
- Stewart, W.B., Kauer, J.S. and Shepherd, G.M. (1979) Functional organization of rat olfactory bulb analysed by the 2-deoxyglucose method. J. Comp. Neurol., 185, 715–734.
- Stopfer, M., Bhagavan, S., Smith, B.H. and Laurent, G. (1997) Impaired odour discrimination on desynchronization of odour-encoding neural assemblies. Nature, 390, 70–74.
- Sullivan, R.M., Stackenwalt, G., Nasr, F., Lemon, C. and Wilson, D.A. (2000) Association of an odor with activation of olfactory bulb noradrenergic beta-receptors or locus coeruleus stimulation is sufficient to produce learned approach responses to that odor in neonatal rats. Behav. Neurosci., 114, 957–962.
- **Urban, N.N.** (2002) Lateral inhibition in the olfactory bulb and in olfaction. Physiol. Behav., 77, 607–612.
- van Drongelen, W., Holley, A. and Doving, K.B. (1978) Convergence in the olfactory system: quantitative aspects of odour sensitivity. J. Theor. Biol., 71, 39–48.
- Vermeulen, A., Lansky, P., Tuckwell, H. and Rospars, J.P. (1997) Coding of odour intensity in a sensory neuron. Biosystems, 40, 203–210.
- Vermeulen, A. and Rospars, J.P. (1998) Dendritic integration in olfactory sensory neurons: a steady-state analysis of how the neuron structure and neuron environment influence the coding of odor intensity. J. Comput. Neurosci., 5, 243–266.
- Vermeulen, A., Rospars, J.P., Lansky, P. and Tuckwell, H.C. (1996) Coding of stimulus intensity in an olfactory receptor neuron: role of neuron spatial extent and passive dendritic backpropagation of action potentials. Bull. Math. Biol., 58, 493–512.

- Wachowiak, M., Cohen, L.B. and Zochowski, M.R. (2002) Distributed and concentration-invariant spatial representations of odorants by receptor neuron input to the turtle olfactory bulb. J. Neurophysiol., 87, 1035– 1045.
- Wellis, D.P., Scott, J.W. and Harrison, T.A. (1989) *Discrimination among* odorants by single neurons of the rat olfactory bulb. J. Neurophysiol., 61, 1161–1177.
- White, J., Dickinson, T.A., Walt, D.R. and Kauer, J.S. (1998) An olfactory neuronal network for vapor recognition in an artificial nose. Biol. Cybern., 78, 245–251.
- White, J., Hamilton, K.A., Neff, S.R. and Kauer, J.S. (1992) Emergent properties of odor information coding in a representational model of the salamander olfactory bulb. J. Neurosci., 12, 1772–1780.
- White, J. and Kauer, J.S. (2001) *Exploring olfactory population coding using an artificial olfactory system*. Prog. Brain Res., 130, 191–203.
- Wilson, D.A., Best, A.R. and Sullivan, R.M. (2004) Plasticity in the olfactory system: lessons for the neurobiology of memory. Neuroscientist, 10, 513–524.
- Wilson, D.A. and Stevenson, R.J. (2003) Olfactory perceptual learning: the critical role of memory in odor discrimination. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev., 27, 307–328.
- Wilson, M. and Bower, J.M. (1992) Cortical oscillations and temporal interactions in a computer simulation of piriform cortex. J. Neurophysiol., 67, 981–995.
- Wiltrout, C., Dogra, S. and Linster, C. (2003) Configurational and nonconfigurational interactions between odorants in binary mixtures. Behav. Neurosci., 117, 236–245.
- Xu, D. and Principe, J.C. (2004) *Dynamical analysis of neural oscillators in an olfactory cortex model.* IEEE Trans. Neural Netw., 15, 1053–1062.
- Yokoi, M., Mori, K. and Nakanishi, S. (1995) Refinement of odor molecule tuning by dendrodendritic synaptic inhibition in the olfactory bulb. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 92, 3371–3375.
- Yuan, Q., Harley, C.W. and McLean, J.H. (2003) Mitral cell beta1 and 5-HT2A receptor colocalization and cAMP coregulation: a new model of norepinephrine-induced learning in the olfactory bulb. Learn. Mem., 10, 5–15.

Accepted October 10, 2005